



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,630	07/19/2001	Yakov Kamen	ISURFTV146	9979
52940 7	590 . 11/29/2005		EXAMINER	
TODD S. PARKHURST HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP			CASCHERA, ANTONIO A	
	ORN STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
30TH FLOOR			2676	
CHICAGO, IL	. 60603		DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/909,630	KAMEN, YAKOV			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Antonio A. Caschera	2676			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Al	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ja	anuary 2005.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the merits i	is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. ^					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2001 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[] objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).		
11)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority document		application No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
•	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
			•		
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e).

Oath/Declaration

2. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

The filing date of provisional application claimed benefit from is incorrect as the filing date should read, "October 19, 2000," (see page 2 of Declaration).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 11, 12, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kohno et al. (U.S. Patent 6,462,784 B1) in view of Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0, Software included in Microsoft Windows, Microsoft Corp. © 1981-1998.

In reference to claim 1, Kohno et al. discloses an apparatus and method for displaying program contents (see column 1, lines 6-12) utilizing a monitor device,

Art Unit: 2676

integrated receiver/decoder and remote commander (see column 5, lines 30-32). Kohno et al. discloses the remote commander acting as a selecting means to select on-air programming information in an EPG (see column 7, lines 34-40). Kohno et al. also discloses modifying an attribute associated with the object by a predetermined modification of the object each time it is selected (see column 10, lines 25-37 and Figures 12A and 12B). Note the office interprets the "incremental amount" to be substantially similar to the changing of the color scheme of the selected "key" of Kohno et al. each time it is selected. Kohno et al. discloses displaying the changed color scheme of the "key" (see Figures 12A, 12B and 13). Kohno et al. does not explicitly disclose modification of the attribute occurring at least more than two times in a common direction however Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 does. Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 discloses a music style interface with forward, reverse, play, stop and record buttons (see screenshot #1). Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 discloses that when the play button is depressed, a sound file is played and the "disabled" attribute of the play button becomes active as the play button is no longer available to depress (see screenshot #2). Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 further discloses that in such state, the button graphical color of the triangle is painted gray (see screenshot #2). Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 further discloses the play button to return to a "enable" state when the file is done playing (see screenshot #1). Note, the office interprets that the "disabled" attribute of the play button allows for an incremental change in the play button object's color attribute which changes in only one direction, from black to gray to black again (black, when a sound file is ready to be played, gray while playing the sound file and black again when the file is finished playing). Also, the button is capable of being pressed, "at least more than two

Art Unit: 2676

times", such a feature depending upon the interaction of the GUI element by a user, therefore, the number of times the object attribute is changed is inherently, "at least more than two times." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the button object attribute modification techniques of Microsoft Sound Recorder with the EPG of Kohn et al. in order to provide the user interface of the EPG a clear and concise state of each depressible object creating an easier to use, clear and concise display of TV programming data (further see *Response to Arguments below*).

In reference to claim 2, Kohno et al. and Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 disclose all of the claim limitations as applied to claim 1 above in addition, Kohno et al. discloses lightening and darkening the color of the "key" in accordance with its selection state (see column 10, lines 31-37).

In reference to claim 11, Kohno et al. discloses an apparatus and method for displaying program contents (see column 1, lines 6-12) utilizing a monitor device, integrated receiver/decoder and remote commander (see column 5, lines 30-32). Kohno et al. discloses the remote commander acting as a selecting means to select on-air programming information in an EPG (see column 7, lines 34-40). Kohno et al. also discloses modifying an attribute associated with the object by a predetermined modification of the object each time it is selected (see column 10, lines 25-37 and Figures 12A and 12B). Note the office interprets the "incremental amount" to be substantially similar to the changing of the color scheme of the selected "key" of Kohno et al. each time it is selected. Kohno et al. discloses displaying the changed color scheme of the "key" (see Figures 12A, 12B and 13). Kohno et al. discloses a control program unit (see

Art Unit: 2676

#62 of Figure 5) that creates monitor display data and the input/output section (#64 of Figure 5) to produce monitor display signals which the office interprets as substantially similar to a third unit displaying modified object data. Kohno et al. does not explicitly disclose a second unit for modifying the object however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the modifying step in a second unit as the office sees such a limitation, the location in hardware of the modifying step, as a matter of design choice as seen by the designer. Further, the location and separation of steps into hardware units provides no immediate criticality towards the application at hand as it is directed towards the displaying of EPG data objects. Kohno et al. does not explicitly disclose modification of the attribute occurring a plurality of times in a common direction however Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 does. Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 discloses a music style interface with forward, reverse, play, stop and record buttons (see screenshot #1). Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 discloses that when the play button is depressed, a sound file is played and the "disabled" attribute of the play button becomes active as the play button is no longer available to depress (see screenshot #2). Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 further discloses that in such state, the button graphical color of the triangle is painted gray (see screenshot #2). Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 further discloses the play button to return to a "enable" state when the file is done playing (see screenshot #1). Note, the office interprets that the "disabled" attribute of the play button allows for an incremental change in the play button object's color attribute which changes in only one direction, from black to gray to black again (black, when a sound file is ready to be played, gray while playing the sound file and black again when the file is finished playing). Also, the button is capable of being

Art Unit: 2676

pressed, "at least more than two times", such a feature depending upon the interaction of the GUI element by a user, therefore, the number of times the object attribute is changed is inherently, "at least more than two times." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the button object attribute modification techniques of Microsoft Sound Recorder with the EPG of Kohn et al. in order to provide the user interface of the EPG a clear and concise state of each depressible object creating an easier to use, clear and concise display of TV programming data (further see *Response to Arguments below*).

In reference to claims 12 and 22, Kohno et al. and Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 disclose all of the claim limitations as applied to claims 11 and 21 respectively in addition, Kohno et al. discloses lightening and darkening the color of the "key" in accordance with its selection state (see column 10, lines 31-37).

In reference to claim 21, Kohno et al. discloses an apparatus and method for displaying program contents (see column 1, lines 6-12) utilizing a monitor device, integrated receiver/decoder and remote commander (see column 5, lines 30-32). Kohno et al. discloses an input/output section that receives a selection of an object from the remote commander (see column 7, lines 13-15 and 34-40). Kohno et al. also discloses modifying an attribute associated with the object by a predetermined modification of the object each time it is selected (see column 10, lines 25-37 and Figures 12A and 12B). Note the office interprets the "incremental amount" to be substantially similar to the changing of the color scheme of the selected "key" of Kohno et al. each time it is selected. Kohno et al. discloses displaying the changed color scheme of the "key" (see Figures 12A, 12B and 13). Although Kohno et al. discloses a data unit holding EPG and

Art Unit: 2676

monitor display data (see #63 of Figure 5), Kohno et al. does not explicitly disclose a machine-readable storage medium embodying a sequence of instructions executable to perform modifying EPG display information however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the EPG display/generation method of Kohno et al. with the program information object modifying methods of Bedard on a machine-readable storage medium in order to allow for the EPG to be accessible multiple times, allowing for the system to remember previously visited or "favorite" broadcasts. Further, Kohno et al. discloses a CPU (see #60 of Figure 5) to perform processing which is well known in the art to execute some sort of instructions for processing data which are well known in the art to be stored on some sort of readable medium. Kohno et al. does not explicitly disclose modification of the attribute occurring a plurality of times in a common direction however Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 does. Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 discloses a music style interface with forward, reverse, play, stop and record buttons (see screenshot #1). Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 discloses that when the play button is depressed, a sound file is played and the "disabled" attribute of the play button becomes active as the play button is no longer available to depress (see screenshot #2). Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 further discloses that in such state, the button graphical color of the triangle is painted gray (see screenshot #2). Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 further discloses the play button to return to a "enable" state when the file is done playing (see screenshot #1). Note, the office interprets that the "disabled" attribute of the play button allows for an incremental change in the play button object's color attribute which changes in only one direction, from black to gray to black again (black, when a sound file is ready to be played, gray

while playing the sound file and black again when the file is finished playing). Also, the button is capable of being pressed, "at least more than two times", such a feature depending upon the interaction of the GUI element by a user, therefore, the number of times the object attribute is changed is inherently, "at least more than two times." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the button object attribute modification techniques of Microsoft Sound Recorder with the EPG of Kohn et al. in order to provide the user interface of the EPG a clear and concise state of each depressible object creating an easier to use, clear and concise display of TV programming data (further see *Response to Arguments below*).

4. Claims 3-10, 13-20, and 23-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kohno et al. (U.S. Patent 6,462,784 B1), Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0, Software included in Microsoft Windows, Microsoft Corp. © 1981-1998 and further in view of Bedard (U.S. Patent 5,793,438).

In reference to claims 3,13 and 23, Kohno et al. and Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 disclose all of the claim limitations as applied to claims 1, 11 and 21 respectively however neither Kohno et al. nor Microsoft explicitly disclose modifying the shape of the object, Bedard does. Bedard discloses an Electronic Program Guide which presents program guide information in table form at different levels of resolution (see lines 1-3 of abstract). Bedard discloses the EPG to comprise of a first table showing channel names, times and program content represented as square (see #502), sometimes shaded (#504), objects (see Figure 5 of Bedard). Bedard also disclose a magnified table (#510) overlaid over base table (#502) which magnifies the program content object and turns the square into a rectangle with text to describe the program information (see Figure 5). It would

Art Unit: 2676

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the EPG of Kohno et al. and button object attribute modification techniques of Microsoft with the program information object shape changing of Bedard in order to display an EPG which presents at least five hours of scheduling information while meeting the limitations of the television screen's resolution (see column 2, lines 15-19 of Bedard).

In reference to claims 4, 14 and 24, Kohno et al. and Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 disclose all of the claim limitations as applied to claims 1, 11 and 21 respectively however neither Kohno et al. nor Microsoft explicitly disclose modifying the 3D position of the object, Bedard does. Bedard discloses an Electronic Program Guide which presents program guide information in table form at different levels of resolution (see lines 1-3 of abstract). Bedard discloses the EPG to comprise of a first table showing channel names, times and program content represented as square (see #502), sometimes shaded (#504), objects (see Figure 5 of Bedard). Bedard also disclose a magnified table (#510) overlaid over base table (#502) which magnifies the program content object and turns the square into a rectangle with text to describe the program information (see Figure 5). Since the magnified table (#510 of Figure 5) displays an enlarged, detailed view of the current program information at a certain time slot by creating the effect that the magnified object is closer to the user than the smaller square program information objects (#503, 504 of Figure 5), the office interprets such a detailed view as being substantially similar to modifying a 3D position of the object. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the EPG of Kohno et al. and button object attribute modification techniques of Microsoft with the

Art Unit: 2676

program information object 3D position changing of Bedard in order to display an EPG which presents at least five hours of scheduling information while meeting the limitations of the television screen's resolution (see column 2, lines 15-19 of Bedard).

In reference to claims 5, 15 and 25, Kohno et al. and Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 disclose all of the claim limitations as applied to claims 1, 11 and 21 respectively however neither Kohno et al. nor Microsoft explicitly disclose resetting the object with a default attribute when an expiration value limit is reached, Bedard does. Bedard discloses an Electronic Program Guide which presents program guide information in table form at different levels of resolution (see lines 1-3 of abstract). Bedard discloses the EPG to comprise of a first table showing channel names, times and program content represented as square (see #502), sometimes shaded (#504), objects (see Figure 5 of Bedard). Bedard also disclose a magnified table (#510) overlaid over base table (#502) which magnifies the program content object and turns the square into a rectangle with text to describe the program information (see Figure 5). Bedard discloses operating horizontal cursor keys to shift the magnified table (#510 of Figure 5) along the table (#502) to display titles of different programming broadcast at other time slots (see column 5, lines 13-16). From the above disclosure and Figure 5, the office interprets the previously magnified program information objects to return to their default "icon" orientation after a shift of the magnified table is executed by the viewer/user. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the EPG of Kohno et al. and button object attribute modification techniques of Microsoft with the program information object 3D position changing of Bedard in order to display an EPG which presents at least five hours of scheduling information while

11

Art Unit: 2676

meeting the limitations of the television screen's resolution (see column 2, lines 15-19 of Bedard).

In reference to claims 6, 16 and 26, Kohno et al., Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 and Bedard discloses all of the claim limitations as applied to claims 5, 15 and 25 respectively above however neither Kohno et al., Microsoft nor Bedard explicitly disclose the expiration value, recited in claim 5 above, to be a time limit. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement a time limit expiration value when resetting program information object attributes to their default values in order to offer an original-look EPG so the view/user can restart the tracking of his/her most viewed/inquired program broadcastings. Further, it is well known in the art to implement the expiration value as time as many devices utilizing on-screen display techniques, such as televisions, incorporate timed menus whereby if a view/user does not touch a remote key in a given time period the menu is cleared from the display.

In reference to claims 7, 17 and 27, Kohno et al., Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 and Bedard discloses all of the claim limitations as applied to claims 5, 15 and 25 respectively above. Kohno et al. also discloses modifying an attribute associated with the object by a predetermined modification of the object each time it is selected (see column 10, lines 25-37 and Figures 12A and 12B). The office interprets such a modification of the program information object when it is selected to be substantially similar to modifying the object based upon frequency of object selection.

In reference to claims 8-10, 18-20 and 28-30, Kohno et al. and Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 disclose all of the claim limitations as applied to claims 1, 11 and 21

Application/Control Number: 09/909,630 Page 12

Art Unit: 2676

however neither Kohno et al. nor Microsoft explicitly disclose the object being a programming time slot, Bedard does. Bedard discloses an Electronic Program Guide which presents program guide information in table form at different levels of resolution (see lines 1-3 of abstract). Bedard discloses the EPG to comprise of a first table showing channel names, times and program content represented as square (see #502), sometimes shaded (#504), objects (see Figure 5 of Bedard). Bedard also disclose a magnified table (#510) overlaid over base table (#502) that magnifies the programming time slot and program information object to further show AM or PM times and text describing the program information object (see Figure 5). Bedard does not explicitly disclose modifying the channel selection field however the office sees this limitation as a matter of design choice as viewed by the designer. Further, the same techniques for modifying a programming time slot or program information object can be applied to the channel selection field. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the predetermined modifying of a "key" each time it is selected disclosed by Kohno et al. and button object attribute modification techniques of Microsoft with the modifications to the programming time slots and program information objects of Bedard in order to create and display an EPG which presents at least five hours of scheduling information while meeting the limitations of the television screen's resolution (see column 2, lines 15-19 of Bedard) while allowing the view/user to keep track of what he/she has selected from the EPG.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 1/24/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In reference to claims 1, 11 and 21, Applicant argues that the Microsoft Sound Recorder simply discloses a two state play button that is, "...significantly different from the present invention which incrementally changes an object's attribute, i.e. gradually changes the attribute multiple times wherein each change is associated with a selection of the object," (see 1st paragraph of page 11 of Applicant's Remarks).

The current amendments to the claims, "modifying an attribute...at least more than two times that the object is selected..." and "...modification of the attribute occurs at least more than two times in a common direction..." (see section (b) of claim 1, for example) do not overcome the interpretation of the applied prior art of record made by the Office. The Microsoft Sound Recorder reference may and is still applied to the claims. Specifically, since the play button, in the Microsoft reference, is capable of being pressed, "at least more than two times", such a feature depending upon the interaction of the GUI element by a user, the number of times the object attribute is changed is inherently, "at least more than two times." Further, since the "common direction" is interpreted as black \rightarrow grey \rightarrow black \rightarrow grey...and repeating in a circular motion, in the color of the play button of the Microsoft reference, it can be interpreted that the coloring of the button does change, in a common direction, at least more than two times according to the button pressed state.

Further, the Applicant goes to state that such functionally is different from the present invention which, "...incrementally changes an object's attribute, i.e. gradually changes the attribute multiple times wherein each change is associated with a selection of

the object..." (see 1st paragraph of page 11 of Applicant's Remarks). The Office firmly disagrees at this citation and reestablishes the functionality of the play button in Microsoft Sound Recorder. Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 discloses that when the play button is depressed, a sound file is played and the "disabled" attribute of the play button becomes active as the play button is no longer available to depress (see screenshot #2). Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 further discloses that in such state, the button graphical color of the triangle is painted gray (see screenshot #2). Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 further discloses the play button to return to a "enable" state when the file is done playing (see screenshot #1). The above is equivalent to, "...incrementally changes an object's attribute, i.e. gradually changes the attribute multiple times wherein each change is associated with a selection of the object..." and therefore, the Office maintains its current rejection based upon Microsoft Sound Recorder.

Lastly, Applicant argues that there is no suggestion or motivation to combine the Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 reference with Kohno et al. and Bedard (see 3rd paragraph of page 10 of Applicant's Remarks). In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Kohno et al. discloses an apparatus and method for displaying program contents (see column 1, lines 6-12) while Microsoft Sound Recorder 4.0 discloses a music style interface with

forward, reverse, play, stop and record buttons (see screenshot #1) and Bedard discloses an Electronic Program Guide which presents program guide information in table form at different levels of resolution (see lines 1-3 of abstract). All three references are directed to graphical user interfaces and more specifically, using graphical user interface elements to depict specific information to a user. Both Kohno and Bedard specifically deal with EPG programming in graphical user interfaces while Microsoft Sound Recorder provides techniques using specific graphical user interface elements to depict a certain information, such an element a "button" frequently used in graphical user interfaces. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the GUI attribute modifications disclosed by Kohno et al. and GUI button object attribute modification techniques of Microsoft with the modifications to the EPG GUI information objects of Bedard in order to provide the user interface of an EPG a clear and concise state and view of each depressible object creating an easier to use and easier to view display of programming data (see column 2, lines 15-19 of Bedard). Therefore, the Office maintains its current rejections based upon Kohno et al., Microsoft and Bedard.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Antonio Caschera whose telephone number is (571) 272-7781. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays between 7:30 AM and 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella, can be reached at (571) 272-7778.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

571-273-8300 (Central Fax)

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

aac

ML. 11/22/05

Marthew C. Bella
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600